

**Remarks**

The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Claims 1-16 and 60-68 are pending. Claims 17-59 have been canceled without prejudice.

In the Office action dated June 2, 2006 ("Office action"), the Examiner rejected claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Applicants respectfully disagree. To expedite prosecution, however, the Applicants have added "outputting" language to claims 1 and 10, which relates to "post computer process activity" that puts claims 1 and 10 farther in a "safe harbor" for purposes of 35 U.S.C. § 101. (*See* MPEP 2106.IV.) The application as filed supports the amendments at, for example, pages 21-26 and Figure 3.

The Applicants have added claims 60-68. Claims 60-68 are system claims modeled on method claims 1-9 as amended above. Claims 60-68 are supported by the application as filed at, for example, pages 18-26 and Figure 3.

Claims 1-16 and 60-68 should be allowable. Such action is respectfully requested.

**Request for Interview**


If any issues remain, the Examiner is formally requested to contact the undersigned attorney in order to arrange a telephonic interview. It is believed that a brief discussion of the merits of the present application may expedite prosecution. Applicants submit the foregoing formal Amendment so that the Examiner may fully evaluate Applicants' position, thereby enabling the interview to be more focused. This request is being submitted under MPEP § 713.01, which indicates that an interview may be arranged in advance by a written request.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600  
121 S.W. Salmon Street  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
Telephone: (503) 595-5300  
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kyle B. Rinehart  
Registration No. 47,027